

U of T plans "Open Stacks Party"

(CUP) Renewed efforts to open the stacks of U of T's new John Robarts library to undergrads swung into high gear Monday night.

Students have been distributing plebiscite forms in classrooms asking whether people support the demands that stacks be opened to all members of the community.

Organizers of the plebiscite campaign report an excellent response from students contacted to help.

"Students were coming into the SAC office all day to pick up questionnaires, ask what is going on, and offer to help in other ways," according to organizer Michael Kaufman. "And many of them were

people who hadn't been involved in anything on campus before."

Kaufman noted particularly heavy response from science and professional students.

An information pamphlet was also circulated on campus beginning Monday and finishing today.

Most spectacular in organizer's plans is the "Open Stack Party" scheduled for Wednesday at 2:00 pm. The party will include speakers, music and food in the lobby and smoking room of the university main library, with festivities probably spreading into the stacks in an attempt to keep facilities open all night.

The Academic Senate meeting which was to discuss the open stacks issue this Friday has been postponed until the middle of March.

The Senate has the authority to grant extended student and public privileges to the new library.

Students were also encouraged to question their professors on whether they favour an open stacks policy. The question could lead to a wider discussion of the issue in class.

The student campaign has resulted from the Library Council's failure last Wednesday to recommend undergraduate stack access to the new J.P. Robarts research library.

The decision to wage the university-wide campaign arose from a Thursday meeting of concerned students and Students' Administrative Council representatives who unanimously agreed on the need for and community support for the concept of an open library.

The Library Council voted to close the stacks of the new library to undergraduates despite overwhelming student opposition.

A student motion presented at the meeting, calling for access to the library for all members of the university and community, had won widespread support across campus.

However the motion was rejected by a 19-5 vote. Opponents of the motion argued primarily on the grounds of practicality rather than principle. Many stated that they supported the idea behind the SAC motion, but felt that it would be unfeasible to implement it.

School of Graduate Students Associate Dean Sawyer maintained that there are different

"classes" of students with different needs.


A graduate student, he said, generally has to consult the research materials in the library each day and needs a place in which to keep his books and do his work. The graduate, he continued, has to be sure that his materials are there each day and haven't

been taken out by someone else and has to have access to work space such as carrels reasonably close to his books.

A "great university", he stated, was made by its ability to attract scholars.

If this was lost, he said, the University of Toronto would "cease to be a first rank university."

Ho-hum again —



"Let me make one thing perfectly clear," said Presidential candidate, David Moulton, yesterday.

SC hopefuls rap

You sometimes wonder why they bother to hold all candidates' meetings when the people you are addressing are only there because the old dining hall happened to be where they were eating at the time. Yesterday's was no exception.

Nonetheless, some interesting comparisons were available for those who did attend. Gilles de Chantal, candidate

for President and the first to speak, promised to represent the views of the student body on council. Dave Moulton, his opponent, spoke of his regret that Glendon had lost its idea of being an experimental college, and stressed the need to seek some alternative means of evaluation. He also suggested that one of the residence houses should be allowed to do its own cooking next year on a trial basis.

The most interesting contrast was between Barrie Wallis and Barry Weisleder for Communications Commissioner. Wallis stressed that the job was an apolitical one — he would function solely to get information from the council to the students.

Weisleder challenged this concept. No matter what post you occupy, he said, you would be faced continuously with political decisions. Rather than maintaining simply an information bureau, Weisleder would use the position to explain and solicit support for the council's programme.

The contrast was heightened when Wallis was specifically asked about his position on parity, an issue Weisleder had given priority status. Wallis, however, was skeptical of the students' ability to handle the responsibilities involved. It was something like allowing a 12 year old to drive, he said.

Vote tomorrow
Polls open for Student's Council election tomorrow from 9:00 - 5:00 (advanced poll from 10:00 - 2:00 today).
Pro Tem slate — page 3

VOLUME 11, NUMBER 18

PRO TEM

TORONTO, FEBRUARY 9, 1972

History democratized

by ELEANOR PAUL

Democracy may finally have come to the history department. The report of the history evaluation committee has come out resoundingly in favour of parity.

The evaluation committee, formed at a general history meeting, has parity membership. Originally assigned only to set up procedures for teacher evaluation it was later asked to use these procedures to evaluate Irving Abella for tenure.

They reported that they found "Irving Abella to be an excellent — an unusually excellent — teacher." Unfavourable responses were sought but none were forthcoming but unfavourable comments were made about other courses. Some students claimed that Abella was the only good lecturer they had.

A valuable precedent for parity has thus been set by this committee.

The report was submitted to the history department tenure and promotion committee on Monday.

a copy of the report can be found on page 3.

Mac teachers strike

Hamilton CUP: McMaster University — About 1000 graduate students have left teaching assistant jobs with McMaster over federal tax laws that came into effect January 1.

The strike is in protest of the university's failure to cover income losses averaging \$400 in the students' grants. The grants, averaging \$3800, became taxable on January 1.

The president of the graduate students, Tony Kadai said the students will refuse to work until satisfactory progress is made in achieving their demands.

He said the professors could fill in at tutorials but would have trouble manning laboratories for 800 undergraduate students.

The McMaster students' union is expected to hold a meeting later today to determine whether the majority of undergraduates are in favour of supporting the strike. University president Dr. Harry Thode, representative of the graduate students' union and the faculty association are expected to meet university affairs minister George Kerr in Toronto later Monday to discuss the strike.

Auction successful

by DAVID LEPP

Last Thursday brought to the Old Dining Hall a most worthy event — a very successful auction raised \$300 for the Glendon for Students Fund and Friends of Glendon (the alumni and faculty association).

The people who made this event possible and turned the idea into a success are Jenifer Rigby, Paul Sloan, Michiel Horn, Chris Hawkes and Mara Arndt. Thanks must also go out to all those people who contributed their bodies to the slave sale as well as the many private contributors who donated to the event.

Residence Forum on university autonomy

The first of a series of informal forums will be held tomorrow night at 8:30 in C-house Hilliard common room.

The series was planned by Marilyn Smith, Don of D-house and several other Dons. They hope the forums will help stimulate discussion in the residences on topics as varied as education in Ontario and women's rights.

Tomorrow's forum is entitled "Autonomy in the University", and will be concerned with the relationship of Ontario universities to the government. There will be four guest speakers: Phyllis Grosskurth, a past member of the government's Committee on University Affairs; Bill

Cherry, a member of the Commission on Post-Secondary Education; Dr. John MacDonald, Executive Chairman of the Committee of Ontario Universities; and Glendon Principal Albert Tucker.

All students of the college are welcome to attend.

OYP

Joyce Whitehead, Toronto fieldworker for the Opportunities for Youth Programme will be in room A109 today at 1:15 to answer questions about the year's programme. Application forms are available in the SC offices.

groupies unite!

Pro Tem staff meeting

today at 4 p.m.



EXPORT "A"

CANADA'S FINEST CIGARETTE

SC backs U of T sit-in

BY PAUL WEINBERG

The Glendon students' council has overwhelmingly thrown its support behind today's planned sit-in at U of T's Sigmund Samuel Library. (U of T undergraduates are protesting the planned John P. Roberts Library being closed to undergraduates.)

Paul Johnston and Barry Weisleder pledged personal active support for the sit-in at the Monday SC meeting.

The protesters are staging a party Wednesday night in the Sig. Sam stacks to which everyone is welcome. Johnston will read the council's statement of support at that meeting.

There was some criticism directed against the wording of the sit-in resolution drawn up by Barry Weisleder. Gary

O'Brien felt it was too "rhetorical". Moe Jansons tried unsuccessfully to amend the resolution; by having references to "working people" deleted.

However the council turned aside these complaints, by voting in favour of the pro-sit-in resolution, as it was originally presented by Weisleder.

"I oppose the motion. The club should prove conclusively that it will act in the students' interest." This was part of a general attack Weisleder levelled against the proposed SC grant to the Liberal Club. Paul Johnston also opposed the granting of funds.

But ignoring these complaints, the student council granted \$150 to the Liberal Club (in line with previous grants to other political clubs)

Originally, the Glendon Liberals had requested \$368, which would be spent entirely on this weekend's Liberal Convention in Ottawa.

Dave Moulton, while supporting a grant, criticized Jay Bell for the five week delay the council had to endure before the Liberals would send a representative to the council to explain their club budget: "They kept submitting budgets requesting one or two thousand dollars," he said.

The James Joyce Society received \$255 from the council to help sponsor a program of activities which will include some Irish pub nights, a play based on a Joycean short story and a series of speakers that may include Leslie Fielder and a debate between a member of the I.R.A. and the Orange Lodge.

Read some nice words today

earth · child · endive
panegyric · rain · fiddlehead
love · yes · pomegranate
antimacassar · cauliflower

There now, don't you feel better already?
Look, if you slow down, and take the time to catch up with yourself, you get more out of life. Right?
And that's why we brew Club Ale slowly:
You get more out of it that way.



Club Ale

At Formosa Spring, we won't hurry our beer.

If you have some favourite nice words, send them to us. (Formosa Spring Brewery, Barrie, Ontario) And we'll pass them along.

PRO TEM CONGRATULATES PAUL JOHNSTON ON HIS APPOINTMENT AS HEAD OF TUS

PRO TEM is the student weekly of Glendon College, York University, 2275 Bayview Ave., Toronto 12, Ontario. Opinions expressed are those of the writer. Unsigned comments are the opinion of the paper and not necessarily those of the student union or the university. PRO TEM is a member of Canadian University Press and an agent for social change. Phone 487-6136.

Editor-in-Chief:
Business Manager:
Entertainment Editor:
Circulation — Ad Manager:
Sports Editor:
Photo Editor:
Cartoonist:

Jim Daw
Rob Carson
Elizabeth Cowan
Sarah Francis
Brock Phillips
Erin Combs
Mary Stewart

Production: Joan Andrew, Claude Garneau, Richard Hunt, Dave Jarvis, Diane Muckleston, Barry Nesbitt, Eleanor Paul, Paul Scott, Jim Short.

The PRO TEM slate

New councillors must be activists

As is a yearly tradition, the staff of PRO TEM has selected a slate of candidates it feels to be best qualified to fulfill their responsibilities as members of next year's students' council. This year, however, we will to the best of our ability attempt to explain the overall concepts we have used in reviewing the candidates, and the justification for our specific choices.

Two points are of fundamental importance. Firstly, PRO TEM believes in an activist council, a council willing and able to bring issues to the student body at large. This does not necessarily mean that the council should be composed of "radicals", nor that it should pursue activist policies in the face of strong student objection. It should, however, be prepared to critically analyse the power structures which exist both within the university and outside of it, and it must confront the students, the faculty, the administration and all relevant political organizations outside the college with these analyses.

Secondly, we believe that the students' council must stand strongly in support of a democratization of the decision-making structures of this college. We as students are as deeply affected by the academic and administrative decisions made here as are any of the faculty, and have a right to equal representation. Further, it is our responsibility, both to ourselves and to our society, to question the basic precepts upon which educational decisions are made, and we must have a legitimate forum in which to express our views.

These are general principles. In addition, when assessing the individual candidates, we have looked for those both with ideas and a willingness to work. In this we may have a slight advantage over the majority of students. Although we try to make the interviews you see in the paper as representative of what was said as possible, it is often extremely difficult to be completely fair in reducing a 15 minute interview into 120 words. We hope you attended the all candidates meeting or have talked to the candidates individually. This said, however, we also hope you will give our comments some thought.

President

For President we support **David Moulton**. In his 4 years at Glendon he has shown himself, when sober, to be a hard worker with good ideas. His work this year on the History Evaluation Committee has been particularly impressive. He is committed to a democratization of the university and in our view is more capable than anyone of bringing this issue to the student body. We have also noted favourably his commitment to stay at Glendon this summer to lay the groundwork for next year's programme.

If an active council is important, it is doubly so to have an active President. The job is more than an administrative post, and in this we find Gilles de Chantal and his belief that the council should simply mirror the views of the students, lacking. Experience has shown that these views remain unexpressed if the students are not confronted with the issues which are important to them. Gilles, after only one year at the college, seems not sufficiently aware of the complexities of the post of President.

Academic Affairs

We support **Bruce Maltby** as Academic Affairs Commissioner. He has shown himself to be well-acquainted with the problems and issues involved in furthering student involvement in their courses, course and faculty evaluation, and academic democracy in general, and appears willing to attack these problems with vigour.

Maltby believes that the council should be an initiator of ideas. Greg Cockburn, on the other hand, sees it as a liaison body between the students and the administration. This may account for some small part of the council's function, and although we find his specific proposals such as an examination of introductory courses commendable, and hope he will pursue them, on the whole he seems unsure both of the council's functions and of what he can do in it.

External Affairs

In discussing the candidates for the post of External Affairs Commissioner, the staff rejected a "lesser

of two evils" approach, and has decided not to back either Marilyn Burnett or Ted Paget. Some of our observations, however, might help you in making a decision between the two.

We believe that members of the students' council must work together if they are going to be effective, and as such that their roles will at times intermingle. Nonetheless the council is composed in a commissioner system because there are well-defined duties which are best carried out if one person is specifically charged with them. The External Affairs Commissioner is charged to inform the council of events of interest occurring both in other Canadian universities and at all other governmental levels. It is an important and essential function. We cannot understand what a student who believes that the council "should not get involved in politics at the local or higher levels" is doing running for the post. If Marilyn Burnett believes that the council's priority should be greater social contact with the main York campus (we don't at all agree with her), that's fine — if she were running for Social Affairs.

Ted Paget is at least aware of what the council does. But we find his attitude that the students alone should take action and that if nothing gets done the council need not accept any blame, to be an extremely misguided notion. We would wait a long time for parity, for instance, if we took his advice and just sat back waiting for the York Senate to change its attitude. We should mention though that Paget has proved a good and willing worker this year as a 1st year representative.

Communications

Barry Weisleder is our choice as Communications Commissioner. He is strongly committed to the battle for a democratization of the university structure, and if his rhetoric has at times in the past appalled, he showed at yesterday's general meeting that he is capable of discussing the issues in a reasonable and intelligent manner. Apart from that, his ideas and plans for improving communication between the council and students at large, if implemented,

could well prove to be that long-awaited step in the right direction.

Barrie Wallis is another matter. At yesterday's meeting he likened parity to allowing 12 year olds to drive. We reserve comment on the latter proposition; our views on the first we have already expressed. Unlike Mr. Wallis, we believe that university students are both mature and intelligent enough to make valuable contributions to any debate regarding their education.

We are also under the distinct impression, both from past communications and item #4 in his campaign leaflet, that Mr. Wallis' prime motive in running for Communications is to gain control of this woefully misguided newspaper. It may interest him to know that, as it should be, PRO TEM is under neither financial nor editorial obligation to any political organization on this campus.

Councillor-at-Large

As Councillor-at-large we back **Adele Hurley**. She has been active on the campus, has expressed several worthwhile ideas concerning an improvement of student life, and by all indications would be a good worker. As with Greg Cockburn we find fault with her conception of the council as a liaison body, but as the position was conceived to create a council version of an ombudsman, this is not a major setback. All in all, she should round out the council well.

We don't know if Pat Smith, her opponent, is a hard worker or not. We do know that the residences don't need colour televisions.

Senate

Deciding between Debra Franklin and Marshall Leslie to represent Glendon on the York Senate turned out to be our hardest task, as it is the only election involving two excellent candidates. **Marshall Leslie** gets our nod because of his record and experience on the faculty council, and because we believe he can do a good job of presenting student concerns to the Senate. Both, however, are well informed, and we urge Debra Franklin, win or lose, to run for a position on the faculty council.

PRO TEM Staff

Councillors: power trippers or masochists?

Besides the election of candidates to the students' council, you will be asked to vote on a few supplementary questions.

Three changes and two additions to the constitution are being suggested by the council. You will also be polled on your opinions concerning the question of abortion on demand.

The first and most significant change to the constitution may look like a power play by the present council but it is more likely the case that it is an advanced case of masochism. They want to extend their term of office to May rather than the end of February, not because they want to make some grand political coup, but to make it easier for next year's and future councils.

The most important justification for the new definition of the term of office, from May to May, relates to the job of President. As it has become

obvious in the last two years, the president's job is a full time occupation. It is necessary to ensure that the president-elect has time to complete his courses and serve the student body fully during the first few months of his term.

This does not apply to the rest of the council because the present members will be slowing down on the council work to re-trench at the end of the year. The justification offered by the council is that the new council will have time to learn about their new jobs before they are confronted with the full burden of their new responsibilities. The one criticism of this is that there may not be the obligation to learn the job when they are not in office. This could be a potentially dangerous situation when one realizes that the council inevitably suffers from lost momentum

while separated over the summer recess. The new council should be aware of this danger and should consider meeting with each other before the end of term to discuss and decide on policy and direction for next year; Orientation week being the most pressing responsibility.

One of the more contentious issues, on the council at any rate, has been the election of first year representatives. In first term the council refused to accept the CRO's report because upper level students were not allowed to vote. The whole issue hinges on your concept of representation — whether the councillors represent the first year students or the interests of all students. Probably it could be a judicious mixture of the two positions. And since the first year reps do not vote for the other reps they should probably be allowed

to elect their own.

A change in the quorum regulation of the Constitution is also suggested. The council had problems last term when a few members did not return to school or resigned from the council. Under the new regulation, a quorum will be defined as one more than 50 per cent of the membership. A *reductio ad absurdum* would be two or three members deciding on policy, but this would only be for a two-week period until the mandatory by-election was called.

Other additions to the constitution are merely a definition of the roles of the business manager and the secretary.

The point of the question on abortion is to provide the force of numbers to a presentation by all the Toronto Universities and Colleges to the Justice Department.

History committee supports parity

This report was submitted to the History Department's Tenure and Promotions Committee this week by its Evaluation Committee. Composed of three students and three faculty members, the Evaluation Committee was originally charged to outline faculty evaluation procedures for the department, but was later asked to evaluate Irving Abella's teaching for Tenure and Promotions.

The original purpose of our committee was to study and recommend means of evaluating teaching. Naturally, with such a mandate, there was no question of political power, and no difficulty arose from the fact that our committee was half student, half faculty in composition. As our work progressed, it became clear that we would have to make, at some point, a recommendation for or against student-faculty parity on our department's tenure and promotion committee. Our judgement on this question was formed in an unusual way when we were requested to put our recommendations into practice. A major part of the evaluation process was carried out, then, by a committee in which students not only enjoyed parity, but in fact numerical superiority due to the absence of one or another faculty member from committee functions. Students did not just

carry out committee functions, but initiated them and controlled them at all stages, including the final evaluation.

As a result of our experience, we can definitely endorse the principle of parity and recommend that our department's committee on promotion and tenure be so formed. To deny parity is to assert either that students have no abiding interest in fostering excellence among our faculty, or that they lack the ability to judge excellence. Neither of these propositions can be supported, in view of our experience and belief.

Student participation in the judgement of teaching is desirable for very practical reasons. First of all, the procedures we worked out are so time-consuming and demanding that faculty man-power is probably inadequate to carry them out. The students on our committee were outstanding in their devotion to their work, their ability to organize and to carry out assignments, and the care which they gave their judgements. In the second place, our experience proved that the presence of students on the committee makes it much easier to meet with classes and to establish an atmosphere of comfort and ease, conducive to frank communication. But if students are a necessary part of the process, we feel we cannot deny them an equal

voice in the proceedings. If we wish to make good use of student resources we cannot very well deny them a major role in decision making without cutting away at their loyalty and their sense of dedication. Indeed, to admit students to the committee without granting them an equal voice, would simply make the committee a cockpit for the unceasing thrashing out of demands for parity which would prove very troublesome.

But aside from practical considerations, we feel it is repugnant to assert that students are not rational men and women, and cannot be trusted to make good judgements about their teachers. What are we to think of liberal education, if after three years of it people cannot be produced who will be fit in every respect to make judgements such as are called for by a committee on tenure and promotion? If such people cannot be produced, then anyone, teacher or student, might well reconsider his decision to belong to an educational community. This instinctive response was overwhelmingly reinforced by our experience as a committee. Relations between student and faculty members were 100% equal. Students took a leading part in all phases of our work, and proved able in all respects. We have kept careful records, and the book lies open for all to read.

Finally, the admission of students to real power in this area will insure that teaching ability becomes a truly important criterion in the advancement of faculty to tenure and higher rank. It is probably true that teaching is more emphasized by students than are other areas of excellence, and this means that a poor teacher, will probably have a difficult time securing advancement. Such a result seems highly desirable to us, and consonant with the demands being made both by contemporary Canadian society and the Senate of York University. It should also be kept in mind that the system of teaching evaluation we recommend, once in motion, provides an incentive for good teaching and a corrective for bad. Faculty who experience deep doubts about their effectiveness in the classroom will receive for the first time, solid information about how they are perceived by students, and hints for the improvement of their performance. Over time, they will have ample opportunity to utilize this information and improve their teaching. If they cannot or will not do so, then little is lost by instituting procedures which will make it hard for them to continue in their careers. It is our belief that only by admitting students to full parity in this area of decision making will such an outcome be ensured.

Liberalism: the classic cop-out

by MAO TSE-TUNG

We stand for active ideological struggle because it is the weapon for ensuring unity within the Party, and the revolutionary organizations in the interest of our fight. Every Communist and revolutionary should take up this weapon.

But liberalism rejects ideological struggle and stands for unprincipled peace, thus giving rise to a decadent, philistine attitude and bringing about political degeneration in certain units and individuals in the Party and the revolutionary organizations.

Liberalism manifests itself in various ways.

To let things slide for the sake of peace and friendship when a person has clearly gone wrong, and refrain from principled argument because he is an old friend, a loved one, an old colleague or old subordinate. Or to touch on the matter lightly instead of going into it thoroughly, so as to keep on good terms. The result is that both the organization and the individual are harmed. This is one type of liberalism.

To indulge in irresponsible criticism in private instead of putting forward one's suggestions to the organization. To say nothing to people to their faces but to gossip behind their backs, or to say nothing at a meeting but to gossip afterwards. To show no regard at all for the principles of collective life but to follow one's own inclination. This is a second type.

To let things drift if they do not affect one personally; to say as little as possible while knowing perfectly well what is wrong, to be worldly wise and play safe and seek only to avoid blame. This is a third type.

Not to obey orders but to give pride of place to one's own opinions. To demand special consideration from the organization but to reject its discipline. This is a fourth type.

To indulge in personal attacks, pick quarrels, vent personal spite or seek revenge instead of entering into an argument and struggling against incorrect views for the sake of unity or progress or getting the work done properly. This is a fifth type.

To hear incorrect views without rebutting them and even to hear counter-revolutionary remarks without reporting them, but instead to take them calmly as if nothing had happened. This is a sixth type.

To be among the masses and fail to conduct propaganda and agitation or speak at meetings or conduct investigations and inquiries among them, and instead to be indifferent to them and show no concern for their well-being, forgetting that one is a Communist and behaving as if one were an ordinary non-Communist. This is a seventh type.

To see someone harming the interests of the masses and yet not feel indignant, or dissuade or stop him or reason with him, but allow him to continue. This is an eighth type.

To work half-heartedly without a definite plan or direction; to work perfunctorily and muddle along — "So long as one remains a monk, one goes on tolling the bell". This is a ninth type.

To regard oneself as having rendered great service to the revolution, to pride oneself on being a veteran, to disdain minor assignments while being quite unequal to major tasks, to be slipshod in work and slack in study. This is a tenth type.

To be aware of one's own mistakes and yet make no attempt to correct them, taking a liberal attitude towards oneself. This is an eleventh type.

We could name more. But these eleven are the principle types.

They are all manifestations of liberalism.

Liberalism is extremely harmful in a revolutionary collective. It is a corrosive which eats away unity, undermines cohesion, causes apathy and creates dissension. It robs the revolutionary ranks of compact organization and strict discipline, prevents policies from being carried through and alienates the Party organizations from the masses which the Party leads. It is an extremely bad tendency.



Comrade Mao

Liberalism stems from petty bourgeois selfishness, it places personal interests first and the interests of the revolution second, and this gives rise to ideological, political and organizational liberalism.

People who are liberals look upon the principles of Marxism as abstract dogma. They approve of Marxism but are not prepared to replace their liberalism by Marxism. These people have their Marxism, but they must have their liberalism as well — they talk Marxism but practise liberalism; they apply Marxism to others but liberalism to themselves. They keep both kinds of goods in stock and find a use for each. This is how the minds of certain people work.

Liberalism is a manifestation of opportunism and conflicts fundamentally with Marxism. It is negative and objectively has the effect of helping the enemy; that is why the enemy welcomes its preservation in our midst. Such being its nature, there should be no place for it in the ranks of the revolution.

We must use Marxism, which is positive in spirit, to overcome liberalism, which is negative. A Communist should have largeness of mind and he should be staunch and active, looking upon the interests of the revolution as his very life and subordinating his personal interests to those of the revolution; always and everywhere he should adhere to principle and wage a tireless struggle against all incorrect ideas and actions so as to consolidate the collective life of the Party and strengthen the ties between the Party and the masses; he should be more concerned about the Party and the masses than about any private person, and more concerned about others than about himself. Only thus can he be considered a Communist.

All loyal, honest, active and upright Communists must unite to oppose the liberal tendencies shown by certain people among us, and set them on the right path. This is one of the tasks on our ideological front.

(Note: This article was written on September 7, 1937)

Cabal born of journalistic schism

by J. DAW

The frozen emissaries of Toronto's newest underground newspaper, CABAL, have joined GUERILLA, The Process Church, the Hare Krishna and the installment plan drinkers in the ranks of the side-walk salesmen and have begun trying to pry quarters away from pedestrians downtown.

CABAL, which printed its third edition Monday, has been organized and produced by ten people who were expelled from the GUERILLA collective in early January for allegedly organizing a take-over of Toronto's major underground newspaper.

The two papers offer contradictory explanations about the cause of the split.

According to the group now occupying GUERILLA's Queen St. offices, these ten people, who were members of the paper's editorial and typesetting collectives had been planning to take over the paper during the fall. On December 31, the ten announced to their co-workers that they controlled the paper, the others report.

These others decided that the ten, and any paper they might publish, could not be considered representative of the GUERILLA collective. They removed financial, advertising and distribution files that day in an attempt to prevent the ten from effectively putting out a paper.

They themselves decided against publishing a paper until the issue was resolved.

The ten dissidents say that they did not intend to arbitrarily take over Guerilla, but merely to convince it to adopt a statement of "Principles of Unity" they had been working on.

Seeing the seizure of files to prevent the publication of a paper as a contravention of a decision reached at a general meeting in the beginning of December to publish in the first week of January, this group decided to take action. On January 1, they took over the office temporarily and removed material which would enable them to put out a paper.


The other side reoccupied the offices the next day.

The dissident group did manage to publish a paper on the 5th of January which, although smaller than GUERILLA normally is, had the GUERILLA name on it and carried GUERILLA editorial copy.

After a meeting January 6 in which the rest of the GUERILLA staff decided to expel the ten dissidents, the CABAL group rented an office in Rochdale and borrowed \$2,000 from the Rochdale organization in order to pay for the establishment of a new paper.

GUERILLA does not have a long history as a paper. It began in the May of 1970 when a group of about 15 people pooled their finances and managed to produce their first paper in June. Other members joined over that summer and even more came to the staff before last summer when the paper received an opportunity for Youth Grant.

The paper is primarily sold by side-walk salesmen who buy the paper for 15 cents and sell them for a quarter but papers are now also being sold in the Garfield News chain in subway stations. The present sales rate is about 7,500 but it is projected by the staff that sales will soon rise to



near ten thousand and jump to close to 20,000 by the summer.

Sales revenue is augmented by the sale of classified and local ad lineage and excess revenue over and above the cost of producing the paper is distributed to the staff on the basis of need.

Staff members, are, for the most part, involved in all aspects of producing the paper. It is expected that everyone should attempt to sell ad space and assist with other chores such as typesetting, paste-up and distribution.

The paper is described by writer Richard vanAbbe in an article about the split as having "basically socialist, al-

ternate culture, and revolutionary leanings."

But as another member of the staff, Ken Hutchinson describes it, is not a "political party" so it does not endeavour to toe any particular political line.

This is apparently the basis for the dispute between the CABAL dissidents and the GUERILLA regulars. In the January 6 meeting of the GUERILLA collective the CABAL group presented a position paper in which they tried to clarify a political stand for the paper which would have made the paper more coherent and provide it with more direction. They wanted to exclude articles and cartoons

which they considered did not help the struggle for the revolution; the goals of a revolution being "the practice of socialist equality, the ending of pig-traits (exploitation, oppression, racism and sexism) and the building of a more perfect Collective society."

While at GUERILLA, the CABAL group opposed the "Fabulous Furry Freak Brothers" cartoon because they considered it to contain "sexist sentiments."

VanAbbe charges that their concept of revolution is too dour and that it stresses only "the purity of political theory, the infallibility of workers values and the unimpeachable discipline of revolutionaries themselves."

Although VanAbbe discards the goal of "objectivity" and admits that everything people do on a paper reflects their opinions and beliefs he adds that he doesn't think the GUERILLA can reach a consensus about their beliefs. He argues that it is undemocratic to censor articles submitted by staff members when the viewpoints or perceptions did not coincide perfectly with the concept of revolution advocated by the CABAL dissidents.

The CABAL group policy paper differs in this way: "NOTE THAT objectivity and such values as "fairness" and "equal time" don't become synonymous to us. We do not view the need to counter-balance a correct analysis with an incorrect one, merely to satisfy the existence of both."

One article considered improper by the CABAL for a paper striving to be a revolutionary tool is the "Gnome's Dome" by Doug Austen. In GUERILLA's January 26 issue he introduces his returning article by saying, "Yes, GUERILLA's bald-headed, hippie isolationist liberal radical conservative of marxist persuasion returns..."

The GUERILLA staff viewed the CABAL group's position paper as an attempt to "take-over" the paper — "immediately, actively, editorially."

The individuals in the CABAL group had apparently been very active within the different Guerilla collectives and by force of their own personal ability and talents were gaining a lot of power over the direction and decisions of the various collectives.

VanAbbe looks at the expulsion of the CABAL group as a situation where "a group

of independent people's rejection of those who would manipulate them into roles and positions more consistent with those of ideological lackeys — than those of responsible, spiritually free beings."

The word "cabal", which means "a secret scheme; an intrigue; a secret combination of a few persons, usually evil" effectively describes the group as perceived by the GUERILLA collective. Because most of the splinter group had only joined the staff recently (six months), their attempts to alter the paper and to make it reflect their own perceptions and goals, were considered élitist. They were striving to become leaders in the paper rather than part of a collective working to raise the political consciousness of the entire group.

Probably neither group is entirely in the right. Both factions seemed to be in a power struggle to see which "correct line" would triumph. GUERILLA and Toronto may have been better served if their differences had been worked out internally. But this did not occur and we have a new alternate paper in the city.

CABAL's first issues were quite good for such a new paper — perhaps a bit heavy on the art work and graphics and light on copy but providing a coherent alternative approach to a wide variety of subject matter.

Some of the worthwhile areas covered so far include a regular feature called "The Bad Ad of the Week", an interview with John Sewell, news coverage of the Poor People's Conference, a Liberation News Service feature called "I want a wife", an explanation of Scientology, a workers unite parable (a dialogue between a clerk and a truck driver), a story about bad drugs in Toronto and one about the Cabora Bassa dam in Mozambique.

One particularly good article was a review of the Bangla Desh album. It criticized the mixture of commercialism and big name adulation with photos of starving Bengali refugees on the album cover. The author also calls the long introductions and applause before and after songs just another rip-off and a further indication of how youth will passively consume inferior products because they are in vogue.

They plan to subscribe to the LNS and the Last Post News Service as does GUERILLA and will look in upcoming issues at the Wobblies, the OFY and LIP and "other brain washers."

The paper is by no means well established yet. They face the difficulties of distribution during the winter and rapidly depleting personal resources.

But as the GUERILLA people point out, they may survive just out of obstinance and both papers may improve after the minor set back of the split.

So if you want some good reading, related to life in Toronto, don't always turn to the StarGlobeSun; Instead take 50 cents with you when you go downtown and pick up a GUERILLA and a CABAL.

Support your neighbourhood radical collectives.

georgia
straight

becomes Grape

The Georgia Straight, Vancouver's underground newspaper is now Georgia Grape, or at least according to the 20 people who took over the paper's Gastown offices January 19 in protest against the refusal of editor, publisher and owner Dan McLeod to agree to a staff decision to collectivize the paper's ownership and structure.

The staff collective's paper was named 'The Georgia Grape' because McLeod had threatened city printers with lawsuits should they print a paper called 'The Georgia Straight' which was not authorized by him. It was ready for publication Wednesday, January 19, but the collective could not find a printer until Friday, largely because of McLeod's threats.

The collective intends to occupy the office and publish its own paper at least until negotiations with McLeod are arranged.

The staff feels that although McLeod has had ultimate power over the inclusion or exclu-

sion of anything from the paper, "the paper really is run by all the people who work on it."

This is not what McLeod feels. He wants to continue as owner of the Straight and has produced his own edition of the paper.

Most of the old Straight's writers are members of the staff collective which has been branded "Maoist" and "Trotskyist" by McLeod.

In a satirical response to this, the front page of the Grape bore a cartoon of Mao Tse-tung captioned:

"Nyah-ha-ha: First China, then 'The Georgia Straight', Tomorrow the World..."

McLeod appears to have the support of a number of production workers and claims that the staff is evenly divided on the issue of collectivization.

He says that he has offered the collective the use of the Straight's office and equipment to get its own paper started, but this has been refused.

Simulation

Class tries to solve Vietnam

In an attempt not only to answer the question of relevance but also to put into practice what is learned, the students of Political Science

246.3, International Relations I, will participate in a simulation on Thursday, February 10th and Friday the 11th, in which they will endeavour to solve the Vietnam conflict.

The class has been divided into eleven delegations representing the contending powers, the U.S., South and North Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia as well as other interested parties such as Thailand, Red China, and the U.S.S.R. Included are also the National Liberation Front (Viet-Cong) the Pathet Lao and the International Control Commission (I.C.C.)

The concept of international games and simulations as an educational device first appeared in the sixties in American universities. Since then, many elaborate games and simulations have been developed, all attempting to represent reality as closely as possible. Their degree of success has varied with the interest of the participants and the formulae used. The solution and the unfolding of such conferences have also known many variations. In a simulation held at the Institute of Strategic Studies in London where three groups — students, businessmen, and military officers — were asked to put an end to Soviet-American rivalry, the students found the solution in a nuclear war.

A serious simulation can be a very rewarding exercise for the participants. It is not as easy as it looks, however. The participants need to have mastered not only their respective positions, but also have adequate knowledge of the position of rival delegations and last but not least, the means at their disposal to achieve the objectives they have set for themselves.

In this simulation on South-East Asia, the students will be working under a number of handicaps, the premise of the simulation is that all delegations are dedicated to the idea of putting an end to the Vietnam War. The solution must satisfy all participants so the task of bargaining will be that much more difficult. No delegation will be able, furthermore, to present such faits accomplis as Hanoi or Vientiane being bombed out of existence. Nor can any delegation cavalierly ignore the realities of the present situation in South-East Asia.

The conference will be on Thursday in the Senate Room and on Friday in Room 245.



"Stud" by Alex Goddlieb will be performed in the Pipe Room tonight and tomorrow at 8:30 pm.

on campus

Wednesday, Feb. 9

Advanced poll for the student union elections to be held in the JCR from 10-2 pm.

On the lighter side of things there will a play in the Pipe Room by the name of 'Stud' (the rest is up to your imagination). Time 8:30 pm. Admission 50 cents.

Thursday, Feb. 10

Poll. Don't forget to vote or the masked beaver will get

you. Place the JCR. Time 9-5 pm.

The play 'Stud' will be presented for the last time today at 12:30 pm and 8:30 pm in the Pipe Room. Admission 50 cents.

Feb. 19 and 20

Youth Leadership Symposium, Glendon Ontology Club, Room 204, all day Sat. & Sun. morn. All students are welcome.



How to turn "problem" days into "no problem" days


One simple way is to switch to Tampax tampons. There are so many reasons for trying them.

A doctor developed internally worn Tampax tampons for girls like you. Their gentle three-way expansion gives you dependable protection.

The silken-smooth container-applicator makes them easy and comfortable to insert. And both the applicator and tampon may be flushed away.

Best of all, Tampax tampons really do help you forget about "problem days."

Right from the start...



DEVELOPED BY A DOCTOR
NOW USED BY MILLIONS OF WOMEN
TAMPAX TAMPONS ARE MADE ONLY BY
CANADIAN TAMPAX CORPORATION LTD.,
BARRIE, ONTARIO

Dean and Mrs. Gentles,

and Peter

wish to say

THANK YOU

to everyone

for the beautiful roses

Le Directeur des Services aux Etudiants et Madame Gentles, ainsi que Peter

désirent dire

MERCI


à tous

pour les magnifiques roses

Come on over to the flavour of the great Canadian West!

Calgary Export Ale

Brewed from the heart of Alberta's world famous Conquest barley malt.



Come on over to Calgary Export...the magic is in the malt.

'Made for Each Other' is made for you

by ELIZABETH COWAN

'Lovers and Other Strangers' was a very funny film, well-written, well-directed, well-acted. But its authors, Renée Taylor and Joseph Bologna, lost the rights of their creation; and it is only after seeing a movie which is totally shaped by them that you realize how much better 'Lovers and Other Strangers' could have been.

Rather than lose control over 'Made for Each Other', Miss Taylor and Mr. Bologna risked financial disaster by avoiding all the big producers and distributors. They also by-passed the big-name stars, casting themselves in the rôles they had written — appropriately, since the story is loosely based on their own lives.

This is a method that requires talent amounting to brilliance if it is not to result in an embarrassing atmosphere of home-movie amateurism. Talent amounting to brilliance



Panda (Renee Taylor) and Gig (Joseph Bologna) have dinner in her apartment after meeting at an encounter group session.

is happily a characteristic of both the principals in 'Made For Each Other'.

Love takes a lot of bizarre forms; the relationship between Panda Gold (Miss Taylor) and Gigi Penimba (Joseph Bologna) is one of the most unusual to be filmed. It is also one

of the most real and comic and convincing.

They meet at an encounter-group session on Christmas Eve, driven there by their loneliness and failure. Panda is thirty-two, still stage-struck convinced she can be a star; Gigi is thirty-three, an ex-seminary stu-

dent gone into business, for whom women are disastrous encumbrances.

This hopelessly ill-matched pair draw together with a kind of nervous resignation; clash bitterly over Panda's devotion to her entirely non-existent talent; clash again when Gigi introduces her into a hostile family gathering; part on very bad terms indeed; and re-unite resoundingly, just in time to prove that the title isn't a joke.

If the title were a joke, if the ending were fashionably gloomy, the audience would probably storm the projectionist's booth. This is one of those stories where you ache for and with the characters, where you love them in the same exasperated, pitying way you love your own family. Panda and Gigi are AWFUL people, self-centred and tiresome, but they are also extraordinarily lovable — you have to care about them, and hope that things will work out.

Miss Taylor's Panda is such a good performance that it is difficult to do her justice. Only a very, very good actress can counterfeit a bad one — and Panda is very, very bad. (The night-club act she has spent five years polishing is so inane and mediocre that it's painful to watch).

Gigi is slightly less vulnerable, and so makes fewer demands on the audience's sympathy; but even his selfishness becomes endearing in Mr. Bologna's portrayal.

Between the two of them, 'Made for Each Other' becomes the best comedy in years and years. It is funny, and human, and it has a happy ending; and that makes it unique in this sturm-und-drang era.

Play not Pinter but good

by ELIZABETH COWAN

In general, amateur actors should avoid Pinter. He reads so easily that he tempts would-be directors to try him; but the results are usually dreary bores, for which the famous pauses are to blame. What — on the surface — could be simpler for an actor than a pause.

But of course, the pauses are the most important part of plays like 'The Homecoming' and 'Tea Party'. They have to be timed perfectly, or they are just a way to stretch the production to tedious length.

Martin England and Jamie Doran, who directed last week's production of 'Tea Party' in the Pipe Room, got around this problem of how to do successful pauses by an unusual means — they left them out entirely. All those unvoiced hints of something the audience can only guess at; all those suggestive hesitations before a question is answered, so that we wonder how true the reply is going to be; all gone.

This is certainly one way

of dealing with Pinter's unique style, but it isn't the most satisfactory. The results — however pleasant to watch — just aren't Pinter.

Still, pleasantness-to-watch is good value, and it was a shame that 'Tea Party' coincided with several stormy nights — the actors deserved a bigger audience. There were so many fine performances that it is necessary to choose an arbitrary three out of thirteen, and praise Sinclair Kelly as poor disintegrating Robert Disson; Alison North as Wendy the secretary who can't bear to be touched; and Tammy Levine as Mrs. Disson — Miss Levine started out rather stiffly, but by the final scenes had loosened up marvellously.

'Tea Party' is a series of scenes connected by black-outs, and containing several tricky light-changing sequences; all of this being handled proficiently by Jim Bunton and David Rhodes.

Last week's production may not have been Pinter, but it was a very enjoyable show all the same.

Immensément Croisés

"Tu m'insectes, tu m'insectes, c'est tout ce que tu sais faire. Je te souris, fais l'acrobate pour te plaire."

Pendant une heure, sept jeunes comédiens et comédiennes ont souri et fait les acrobates pour plaire aux spectateurs évidemment enthousiastes. L'atelier théâtral français a présenté dans le Junior Common Room de McLaughlin College le poème "Immensément Croisés" de H.A. Bouraoui, professeur de

français à York. M. Bouraoui, auteur de deux livres de poésie, a lui-même participé à la mise en scène collective et imaginative. Par la danse, le geste, le son, l'éclairage, l'image et les voix, les interprètes nous ont présenté une série de saynètes (du laboratoire de langue jusqu'au champs de bataille).

"Immensément Croisés" sera présenté ce vendredi 11 février à 12h30 dans le Pipe Room.

'Great Notion' not so great

There doesn't seem to be much point in recommending or criticizing "Sometimes a Great Notion" — Paul Newman freaks and people from Oregon will go as a matter of course and love it, and non-Newmanites from other parts

of the world will probably be put off by the advertising anyway.

But the first group deserves to be warned that Mr. Newman isn't even in all the scenes, and never takes his shirt off; and that the views of Oregon are limited, after the first few frames, to a great many trees, all being cut down.

And the second group deserves to be warned that if they don't go and see it, they will be missing the best, the most suspenseful, the most horrifying horror scene ever filmed.

So there you are.

Apart from Mr. Newman, who manages to be consistent and convincing despite the dullness of the script, the cast includes Henry Fonda, as the patriarch of the Stamper clan; Richard Jaekel, as his compulsively happy

nephew; Michael Sarrazin, as his Eastern-Establishment hairy-hippy younger son; and Lee Remick, blank and plastic as usual, as Mr. Newman's wife.

All these people are united against the union, which is trying to persuade them to join a strike. The Stampers, in the best American pioneer tradition, let their friends and neighbours get poorer and hungrier and more and more desperate, while they continue to work for the lumber companies. We are not, however, asked to admire them for this.

Mr. Newman also directed the picture, and he is well known for his correct views on such matters, so we see the nasty Stampers suffering various miseries, including that horror sequence: which really is thrilling, and ought to be used in film courses and anthologies as the classic example of what you can do with a rising tide.

The end of the film is unpleasant, but it has a rightness, considering what has gone before.

The title has no rightness to speak of — it has nothing discernible to do with the story, which ought to have been given an old-fashioned descriptive name like "Men Against the Wilderness" or "The Strike" or "Never Give An Inch".

Because basically, this is a very old-fashioned movie. All the four-letter words and leering remarks only emphasize how little really goes on, and how two-dimensional the roles are.

But it's harmless enough entertainment, if you have a free evening.

Gopher season ends with 3-0 knockout

by BROCK PHILLIPS

On Monday night the high-flying Gophers were finally grounded, not by the air controllers' strike or the air technicians' strike, but by the Osgoode Hall Owls who seem to have had a case against the Glendon team. The odds-makers thought the series would be close and hard fought last Wednesday but it had turned into a semi-rout by Monday. The best out of five total goal series, as Angie Diclemente describes it, was won in three games by the lawyers. The battle of the defences was turned into the battle of the offences as the scores were 11 to 7, 9 to 1; and 11 to 6, all in favour of Osgoode.

In the 3rd game Monday night, as in the other games, Osgoode struck fast and were leading 1 to 0 after twenty-five seconds. The Gophers were inspired though by the promise that Bonnie Stanton would be buying the beer when

they had won the championship and so came back and made it 1 to 1 in favour of Glendon. But because lawyers have champagne tastes, the Owls started to fill the Glendon net. Then, inspired by the Moron Tabernac Choir, whose performances are as good as that of the infamous Brass Studs, the Gophers started to fill the Osgoode net. Then a dog fight commenced in which one saw the worst hockey this side of the Toronto Tyke Tournament.

But when the ice chips cleared and squinting eyes were finally trained on the scoreboard, the score read 12, no 11 (Thank-you Judy) to 6 for Osgoode. The Owls were leaping over the boards and congratulating each other while the Gophers headed for the dressing room.

Unfortunately Sydney did not get the official scorers of the game. He explained that Bobby Orr used his last Bic 19 cent ballpoint when practising his slapshot and that good pens

that write in the 35 degree temperature of Arctic Arena, especially when they are such an exorbitant price, are hard to come by. But Sydney has a photographic memory and he says that Wilson Ross scored his last goal as a Gopher as did Larry Scanlan and probably Larry Scanlan and probably C.K. Doyon. John Frankie, Serge Leclerc and Andy Raven and either K.C. Haffey, Terry Walker, Lois Bartman, Jean Lemay, Angie Diclemente, Donnie Young, Jim Gallagher, Bonnie Stanton, Greg Cockburn, Animal Gilbert, Mike Thomas or Archie Bunker, score the remaining goal.

The team would like to thank manager K.C. Haffey and coach Jack Daley for a fine season. As always the final word on the season belongs to K.C. When asked about his plans for next year he replied, "I'll be with the winners next year."



Championships bring a week of upsets

by BROCK PHILLIPS

Last week's series of hockey upsets ended on Thursday as snow covered the rink and made play impossible. But before that some of the intramural hockey powerhouses had been vanquished.

The biggest news of the week came when everyone's favourite for the hockey championship, 3rd year, was surpassed by an up-and-coming 1st year team. On Monday a surprisingly strong frosh team flattened a surprisingly weak 3rd year team by the tune of 11 to 5. A 3rd year team spokesman said they missed the scoring punch that was reliably provided by three teammates who were absent due to suspensions, personal problems and a missing bus ticket.

Don Grigor played hero for 1st year with 5 goals he bla-

zed by Frank Oster, who was wearing goalie pads for the first time in his long career as a utility forward. Bob Pebrinie and Chip King were tied in their own private scoring content by dividing 4 goals. If Buzz MacLain scored 1 goal and Alphinso scored 1 goal, how many goals did Tome Crepnakonic score? For the answer refer to page 1 of Higher Mathematics Made Easier.

Bullet Bob Stanger and C.K. Doyon kept 3rd year in the two-game total goals series by scoring a couple each. Glen Jones brought the fan to the edge of his seat with a startling rush that resulted in his only goal.

On Tuesday, 3rd year fought with all they had. They battled hard and incessantly. They never said die and in the end they lost because,

as Andy Raven said, "We were in a ludicrous position because of the astronomical total of goals that we were expressly required to direct by the opposition's goalies." Archie might have added that the relationship between 3rd year and 1st year was purely platonic throughout the series. Archie provided half the goals in 3rd year's 4 to 1 victory. C.K. Doyon added 2 more to his 2 goal performance in the previous game.

Dan Gregor again played hero for 1st year, as he scored the lone goals. 1st year though won the series 12 to 9 and go against the D-house Animals once the white stuff is removed from the ice.

The end of 4th

On Monday night 2nd year overwhelmed a valiant 4th year team by the score of 3 to 2. Playing with a shor-

tage of players, 4th year was no match for the well-rested and full compliment of 2nd year players. John Moodie, Steve Marchessault and Warren Smith provided the margin of victory by scoring a goal each. K.C. Haffey's and Wilson Ross' goals kept 4th year in the picture.

Tuesday was a repeat of Monday night. It was the extra strength of 2nd year that finally prevailed. Steve Marchessault had the hat-trick and Animal Gilbert had a semi-hat-trick. (Animal scored twice.)

Dave Honsberger, K.C. Haffey and Rick MacKenzie scored what may prove to be their final intramural goals, although Rick MacKenzie said something about playing for another 15 years. K.C. Haffey's press conference to announce his retirement was cancelled when someone mentioned that he could still win the table tennis tournament. K.C. is now undergoing intensive training hoping that his last athletic endeavour will bring him a championship that has been so near and yet so far during the last 4 years at Glendon.

B-house beats A

The most upsetting news that reached the PRO TEM sports desk was the defeat of the riches to rags A-house Axemen at the hands of the B-house Sons of B. The Axemen, although led by Charlie Laforet possess one of the most potent scoring attacks in the league, were unable to find the net. Unconfirmed reports have accused John H. Riley with removing the B-house net to a secluded spot around room 312. Riley has vehemently denied any part in the affair but was glad to implicate the team of Walker and Leslie.

Wayne Langois showed some hidden scoring power by filling the net with the only shots that were put past Axemen goalie Drew Douglas in the 2 to 1 game. Keith Cadday was the opportunist for the Axemen.

The Axemen managed to lose the second game despite the efforts of the large and expanding Axemen fan club. Axemen fans held all the official positions during the game but were unable

to convince the Sons of B that it was best not to incur the wrath of the unruly Axeman fan.

The official scorebook reveals that Wayne Langois scored 2 goals and Gary Lamb scored 1 goal. But a news leak from the athletic official reveals the possibility that someone had tampered with Wayne Bishop's secret files in order that John H. Riley would not be credited with scoring one of the goals.

Axemen robbed

For the Axemen Angie Diclemente, a player picked up in the draft of underaged first year juniors scored a goal, and a former Axemen star who came back, as he said it, to help lead the Axemen to the top, Jamie Anderson, scored the other. After the 3 to 2 loss, ("Make sure you put that in", laughed John H. Riley, "so the whole world will know that we beat you.") Jeff Ballennie and Charlie Laforet of the Axemen revealed that they were playing out their options next year. Club spokesman Paul Picard said it was just a publicity stunt on behalf of two players in order to get their names in PRO TEM.

"What's the matter for you", shouted John Frankie as he burst in the PRO TEM office. "Just cause we decisively smashed, slaughtered, drew, quartered and also beat A-house 3 to 0 on Monday and just cause you happen to play for the Axemen and are biious when you write about them doesn't mean you can't put our great victory in the paper. If you don't put it in I'm going to get red in the face and then violent." (Ed. note - John plays for the D-house Animals, which probable explains his actions.)

On Monday night the A-house Axemen were edged 3 to 0 by the D-house Animals. The Axemen carried the play to the Animals through the game but were defeated when Ralph Carr had two lucky breaks and John Franklin had one. The Axemen were also slowed by injuries to five of their stars. This probably cost them the game. Now who said there is biased reporting on the sports page.



"There's a job waiting in this country for any Canadian who's willing to go out and FIGHT for it..."

Aislin